arXiv:1610.09675v2 [math.DS] 6 Nov 2016

QUASI-UNIFORM CONVERGENCE IN DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS GENERATED BY AN AMENABLE GROUP ACTION

MARTHA ŁĄCKA AND MARTA PIETRZYK

Abstract. We study properties of the Weyl pseudometric associated with an action of a countable amenable group on a compact metric space. We prove that the topological entropy and the number of minimal subsets of the closure of an orbit are both lower semicontinuous with respect to the Weyl pseudometric. Furthermore, the simplex of invariant measures supported on the orbit closure varies continuously. We apply the Weyl pseudometric to Toeplitz sequences for amenable residually finite groups. We introduce the notion of a regular Toeplitz sequence for an arbitrary amenable residually finite group and demonstrate that all regular Toeplitz sequences define minimal and uniquely ergodic systems. We prove path-connectivity of this family with respect to the Weyl pseudometric. This leads to a new proof of a theorem of Fabrice Krieger [*J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 75 (2007), no. 2, pp. 447–462], which says that Toeplitz sequences can have arbitrary finite entropy.

1. Introduction

Given a dynamical system one may measure the distance between two points by computing an averaged distance along their orbits. This leads to new (pseudo)metrics (there is more than one way of averaging along the orbit) and it is expected that these new metrics may be useful to describe the dynamics of the system. One of the tools used in such studies is the *Weyl pseudometric* D_W given, for a dynamical system (X,T) and $x,y\in X$ by

$$D_W(x,y)=\limsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\rho(T^{k+i}(x),T^{k+i}(y)),$$

where X is a compact metric space, $T\colon X\to X$ is a continuous map, and ρ is a metric on X. Following Downarowicz and Iwanik we call the convergence with respect to the Weyl pseudometric the *quasi-uniform convergence*. This term was introduced by Jacobs and Keane in [27]. They proved that if $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ tends to x quasi-uniformly and with respect to the usual topology, and every element of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is strictly transitive (that is there is only one Borel probability invariant measure on the closure of its orbit), then so is x. This result was generalized by Iwanik in [26]. Further dynamical consequences of the quasi-uniform convergence were studied by Downarowicz and Iwanik [19], Blanchard [9], and Salo and Törmä [37].

It occurs that the above definition of Weyl pseudometric can be extended to dynamical systems given by an amenable group action. We study the properties of the quasi-uniform convergence in this wider setting. We prove that the topological entropy of the system induced on a closure of an orbit of a point and the number of the minimal components of it are both lower semicontinous with respect to the Weyl pseudometric. We show that the simplices of invariant measures of such systems vary continuously (when we endow the family of all non-empty compact sets of invariant measures with the Hausdorff metric induced by the Prokhorov metric).

Date: November 8, 2016.

We also prove that in the case of shift action entropy is a continuous function with respect to the Weyl pseudometric. This extend results from [19] and can be applied to study properties of transitive dynamical systems.

Among such systems there are those given by the closure of an orbit of a Toeplitz sequence. Such sequences were introduced by Jacobs and Keane in [27] and have already proved to be a powerful tool to illustrate numerous remarkable properties of dynamical systems [2, 15, 20, 21, 22, 25, 33, 42]. Using Toeplitz sequences one can construct for instance strictly ergodic systems with positive entropy or minimal systems which are not uniquely ergodic [33, 42]. The authors of [25] proved that they correspond to a special class of expansive Bratteli-Vershik systems, while in [2] they are interpreted as Delone dynamical systems induced by model sets of a cut-and-project schemes.

Toeplitz sequences for amenable residually finite group actions have also been studied extensively [11, 12, 13, 22, 28, 29]. It is shown that Toeplitz subshifts correspond naturally to minimal symbolic almost one-to-one extensions of subodometers [12] (see also [20, 33]). The authors of [13] proved that every metrizable Choquet simplex can be realized as a simplex of invariant measures of some Toeplitz shift. In [29] the maximal equicontinuous factor of a Toeplitz subshift was described. We introduce regular Toeplitz sequences for an amenable residually finite group actions and show that they generate strictly ergodic systems with zero topological entropy.

In [28, Theorem 1.1] Krieger proved that for any number t in $[0, \log k)$ there exists a Toeplitz sequence over k-letter alphabet such that its entropy is equal to t. This in particular means that there are uncountably many pairwise not conjugated Toeplitz dynamical systems. In this paper we obtain the Kieger theorem as an easy consequence of the properties of the quasi-uniform convergence we have proved. To be more specific, we show that the family of Toeplitz sequences is path-connected with respect to the Weyl pseudometric. This enables us to establish paths of Toeplitz sequences with continuously varying entropy. Thanks to that to prove the Krieger theorem it is enough to find a Toeplitz sequence with arbitrarily large entropy which is much easier than constructing a Toeplitz sequence with entropy equal exactly to a given number.

2. Notation, Basic Definitions and Setting

Throughout this paper G denotes a discrete countable amenable group with a neutral element e, (X, ρ) is a compact metric space and $\mathrm{Fin}(G)$ is the family of all non-empty finite subsets of G. Without loss of generality we assume that $\sup\{\rho(x, y) : x, y \in X\} \leq 1$.

Countable amenable groups can be defined in many equivalent ways. Here we characterize them using Følner sequences. We say the group G is *amenable*, if it admits a (left) Følner sequence. Recall that a sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ is a *(left)*[1] *Følner sequence* if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|gF_n \triangle F_n|}{|F_n|} = 0 \quad \text{for every } g \in G.$$

Given $F, K \in \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we say that F is (K, ε)-*invariant* if $|KF \triangle F| \leq \varepsilon |F|$. Note that if $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K \in \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that F_N is (K, ε)-invariant.

[1]We used the adjective to distinguish *left* Følner sequences from their right- and two-sided analogues. In a similar vain many notions related to non-commutative groups have „left" and „right" variants. For brevity, we will use adjectives „left/right" only in definitions and routinely omit them later, since the choice of right/left variant of every notion we use is fixed throughout the paper.

Let X^G be the set of all functions from G to X. For any $x \in X$ we let Gx to be the *orbit* of x, that is Gx equals $\{gx : g \in G\}$. By $\underline{x}_G \in X^G$ we denote the *trajectory* of x defined by $\{\underline{x}_G\}_g = gx$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the family of Borel probability measures on X. We consider $\mathcal{M}(X)$ as a metric space with the *Prokhorov metric* $D_P\colon \mathcal{M}(X) \times \mathcal{M}(X) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ defined as

$$D_P(\mu,\nu) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \text{for every Borel set } B \subset X \text{ one has } \mu(B) \leq \nu(B^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon\},$$

where $B^\varepsilon = \{y \in X : \text{there exists } x \in B \text{ such that } \rho(x,y) < \varepsilon\}$. It is known that this metric gives the weak* topology on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ (see [8, p. 238], [36]). In particular, $(\mathcal{M}(X), D_P)$ is a compact metric space. Let $\mathcal{M}_G(X)$ be the simplex of Borel probability G-invariant measures. Amenability of G implies that $\mathcal{M}_G(X)$ is non-empty. We denote by $\mathcal{M}^e_G(X)$ the family of all G-invariant Borel probability ergodic measures. A point $x \in X$ is *generic* for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(X)$ with respect to a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ if

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{f \in F_n} \hat{\delta}_{fx} \to \mu \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where $\hat{\delta}_z$ denotes the Dirac measure supported at z. A point $x \in X$ which is generic for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(X)$ is called *regular* if it belongs to the support of μ.

A Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is called *tempered* if for some $C > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\Big| \bigcup_{k \leq n} F_k^{-1} F_{n+1} \Big| \leq C \cdot |F_{n+1}|\,.$$

Every Følner sequence has a tempered subsequence [31, Proposition 1.5.]. In particular every amenable group has a tempered Følner sequence. It follows from the ergodic theorem for amenable group actions [31, Theorem 1.3.] that given any tempered Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}$ and any ergodic measure μ one can find a generic point for μ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$.

By H we denote the Hausdorff metric induced by the Prokhorov metric on the space of all non-empty compact subsets of $\mathcal{M}(X)$, that is, for non-empty closed sets $A, B \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ we set

$$H(A,B) = \max\big\{\inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : A \subset B^\varepsilon\}, \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : B \subset A^\varepsilon\}\big\}.$$

Recall that a pseudometric on a set Y is a function $p\colon Y \times Y \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which obeys the triangle inequality and is symmetric. If $f\colon (Y,p) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, we say that f is *lower semicontinuous* if whenever $p(x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ one has $\liminf_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) \geq f(x)$. Similarly, if (Y, p_Y) and (Z, p_Z) are pseudometric spaces we define the continuity of $f\colon Y \to Z$ in a natural manner.

We say that a set $S \subset G$ is *(left) syndetic* if there exists a finite set $F \subset G$ such that $FS = G$. A set $T \subset G$ is *(right) thick* if for every finite set $F \subset G$ there exists $\gamma \in T$ such that $F^{-1}\gamma \subset T$. The properties of being syndetic and thick are dual:

- A set $S \subset G$ is left syndetic if and only if for every right thick set T one has $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$.
- A set $T \subset G$ is right thick if and only if for every left syndetic set S one has $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

A countable group G is *residually finite* if there exists a nested sequence $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite index normal subgroups such that

$$\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n = \{e\}.$$

Let (Z,G) be a subsystem of (X,G), that is let Z be a closed G-invariant set. We say that Z is *minimal* if there are no non trivial subsystems of (Z,G). Equivalently, Z is minimal if for every $z\in Z$ the orbit Gz is dense in Z. A point $x\in X$ is called *transitive* if the set Gx is dense in X.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family consisting of some functions from a (pseudo)metric space (Y,p_Y) to a (pseudo)metric space (Z,p_Z). We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is *uniformly equicontinuous* if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a function $\mathbb{R}_+\ni\varepsilon\to\delta(\varepsilon)\in\mathbb{R}_+$ such that for every $f\in\mathcal{F}$ and all $y_1,y_2\in Y$ satisfying $p_Y(y_1,y_2)<\delta(\varepsilon)$ one has $p_Z(f(y_1),f(y_2))<\varepsilon$. We call the function δ a *modulus of equicontinuity* of $\mathcal{F}$. If $\{\mathcal{F}(k) : k\in\mathcal{K}\}$ is a family of families of functions, we say that *modulus of equicontinuity do not depend on* $k\in\mathcal{K}$ if there exists a function δ which is a modulus of equicontinuity of $\mathcal{F}(k)$ for every $k\in\mathcal{K}$. Analogously we define a *modulus of uniform equivalence* of (pseudo)metrics.

3. The Besicovitch Pseudometric and the Weyl Pseudometric

3.1. **The Besicovitch Pseudometric.** The *Besicovitch pseudometric*, which we describe below, gives one way of measuring distances between orbits of points. Besicovitch used a similar notion in his study of almost periodic functions. The Besicovitch pseudometric was also considered by Aulsander [1], Fomin [23] and Oxtoby [35] and, more recently, in [9, 14, 17, 24, 30, 34].

The Besicovitch pseudometric on X^G with respect to a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}=\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, denoted by $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}$, is defined for $\underline{x}=\{x_g\}_{g\in G}$, $\underline{x}'=\{x'_g\}_{g\in G}\in X^G$ by

$$D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x},\underline{x}')=\limsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_N|}\sum_{g\in F_N}\rho(x_g,x'_g).$$

The Besicovitch pseudometric on (X,G) with respect to $\mathcal{F}$, also denoted by $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}$, equals the $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}$-distance between orbits, that is for $x,x'\in G$

$$D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(x,x')=D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}_G,\underline{x'}_G).$$

For any $A\subset G$ let $\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ denote the *upper asymptotic density* of A with respect to the Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}=\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, that is

$$\overline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)=\limsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{|A\cap F_N|}{|F_N|}.$$

Repeating the proof of [30, Lemma 2] with obvious modifications we get the following:

Lemma 1. *Fix a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}$. Define D'_B on X^G as*

$$D'_{B,\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}_G,\underline{z}_G)=\inf\{\delta>0:\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(\{g\in G:\rho(x_g,z_g)\geq\delta\})<\delta\}.$$

Then $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}$ and $D'_{B,\mathcal{F}}$ are uniformly equivalent on X^G. Moreover, the modulus of uniform equivalence does not depend on the choice of a Følner sequence.

Corollary 2. *Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be another compatible metric on X and $\tilde{D}_{B,\mathcal{F}}$ be defined as $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}$ above with $\tilde{\rho}$ in place of ρ. Then $\tilde{D}_{B,\mathcal{F}}$ and $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}$ are uniformly equivalent on X^G.*

3.2. **Densities.** In the further considerations we need the notion of Banach density which we briefly recall. For $F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)$ and $A\subset G$ define

$$D_F(A)=\frac{|A\cap F|}{|F|},\quad D^F_*(A)=\inf_{g\in G}D_{Fg}(A)\quad\text{and}\quad D^*_F(A)=\sup_{g\in G}D_{Fg}(A).$$

The *upper Banach density* of A is defined as $D^*(A)=\inf\{D^*_F(A) : F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)\}$.

If $\mathcal{F}=\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence, then we have (see [18, Lemma 2.9])

$$D^*(A)=\lim_{n\to\infty} D^*_{F_n}(A).$$

In particular, the above limit exists and does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{F}$ since it follows from [7, Lemma 3.3] that

$$D^*(A)=\sup_{\mathcal{F}}\limsup_{n\to\infty} D_{F_n}(A),$$

where the supremum is taken over all Følner sequences $\mathcal{F}=\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

It is also known that the upper Banach density is equal to extremal densities is_{12} and Si_{21} introduced by Solecki [40, 41] and the Kelley intersection number which can be defined also for nonamenable groups. We refer to [3, 4, 5] for definitions and properties of these notions.

The *lower Banach density* of A is given by $D_*(A)=1-D^*(G\setminus A)$. Note that one also has

$$D_*(A)=\sup\left\{D^F_*(A)\,:\,F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)\right\}=\lim_{n\to\infty}D^{F_n}_*(A)=$$
$$=\inf\left\{\limsup_{n\to\infty}D_{H_n}(A)\,:\,\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\text{ is a Følner sequence}\right\},$$

where $\mathcal{F}=\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is any Følner sequence.

Clearly for any $A\subset G$ one has $D_*(A)\le D^*(A)$. If $D_*(A)=D^*(A)$, then we say that there exists the *Banach density* of A and denote it by $D(A)$.

3.3. **The Weyl Pseudometric.** Below we describe various definitions of Weyl-type pseudometrics and relations between them. Our considerations are summarized by Theorem 13.

For $\underline{x},\underline{x}'\in X^G$ and $F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)$ define

$$\Delta_F(\underline{x},\underline{x}')=\sum_{f\in F}\rho(\underline{x}_f,\underline{x}'_f)\quad\text{and}\quad\Delta^*_F(x,x')=\sup_{g\in G}\Delta_{Fg}(\underline{x},\underline{x}').$$

Given a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}=\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ define the *(right) Weyl pseudometric* $D_W\colon X^G\times X^G\to\mathbb{R}_+$ as

$$D_W\left(\underline{x},\underline{z}\right)=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sup_{g\in G}\sum_{f\in F_ng}\rho(x_f,z_f)\right)=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{|F_n|}\Delta^*_{F_n}(\underline{x},\rho)\right).$$

Remark 3. It follows from Lemma 5 below that $D_W(x,x')$ does not depend on the choice of the Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}$ and from Corollary 7 that the above upper limit is in fact a limit.

One can also consider the Weyl pseudometric as a pseudometric on (X,G), namely given $x,z\in X$ we set $D_W(x,z)=D_W(\underline{x}_G,\underline{z}_G)$. The convergence in D_W on (X,G) is called the *quasi-uniform convergence*. The term was coined by Jacobs and Keane [27] who considered the convergence in $D_W+\rho$ on $(X,\mathbb{Z})$.

For a yet another characterization of Weyl pseudometric we need some machinery from [16].

A *k-cover* ($k\in\mathbb{N}$) of a set $F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)$ is a finite tuple $(K_1,\dots,K_r)$ of elements of $\mathrm{Fin}(G)$ such that for each $g\in F$ the set $\{1\le i\le r\colon g\in K_i\}$ has at least k elements. A function $H\colon\mathrm{Fin}(G)\cup\{\emptyset\}\to[0,\infty)$:

- *satisfies Shearer's inequality* (see [16]) if for any $F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)$ and any k-cover $(K_1,\dots,K_r)$ of F, we have
$$H(F)\le(1/k)(H(K_1)+\ldots+H(K_r)),$$
- is *G-invariant* if $H(Fg)=H(F)$ for every $g\in G$ and $F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)$,

- is *monotone* if for all $A, B \in \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ with $A \subset B$ one has $H(A) \leq H(B)$,
- is *subadditive* if or all $A, B \in \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ one has

$$H(A \cup B) \leq H(A) + H(B).$$

Lemma 4. *If $\underline{x}, \underline{x}' \in X^G$, then the function $H\colon \mathrm{Fin}(G) \to [0, \infty)$, given by*

$$H(F) = \Delta_F^*(\underline{x}, \underline{x}'),$$

is G-invariant and satisfies Shearer's inequality.

Proof. It is obvious that H is G-invariant. We will show that it satisfies Shearer's inequality. Let $F \in \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ and let $(K_1, \ldots, K_r)$ be a $k-$cover of F. Because every element of F belongs to K_i for at least k indices $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{k}(H(K_1) + \ldots + H(K_r)) &= \frac{1}{k}(\sup_{g\in G} \Delta_{K_1 g}(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') + \ldots + \sup_{g\in G} \Delta_{K_r g}(\underline{x}, \underline{x}')) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} \sup_{g\in G}(\Delta_{K_1 g}(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') + \ldots + \Delta_{K_r g}(\underline{x}, \underline{x}')) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} \sup_{g\in G}(k\Delta_{Fg}(\underline{x}, \underline{x}')) = H(F). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5. *If $\underline{x}, \underline{x}' \in X^G$, then the function $H\colon \mathrm{Fin}(G) \to [0, \infty)$ given by*

$$H(F) = \Delta_F^*(\underline{x}, \underline{x}'),$$

obeys the infimum rule*, that is, for each Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ we have*

$$D_W(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} H(F_n) = \inf_{F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)} \frac{1}{|F|} H(F).$$

Proof. By [16, Proposition 3.3] every G-invariant, non-negative function on $\mathrm{Fin}(G)$ that satisfies Sharer's inequality, obeys the infimum rule. Therefore the claim follows from Lemma 4. □

We will need the following theorem which comes from [[32, Theorem 6.1]].

Theorem 6. *If $H\colon \mathrm{Fin}(G) \to [0, \infty)$ is G-invariant, monotone, subadditive and such that $H(\emptyset) = 0$, then for any Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ the expression $H(F_n)/|F_n|$ converges as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, the limit does not depend on the Følner sequence.*

Corollary 7. *The upper limit in the definition of the Weyl pseudometric is in fact a limit.*

The idea of the proof of the next lemma is similar to the one used in [7, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 8. *For any $\underline{x}, \underline{x}' \in X^G$ one has*

$$D_W(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') = \sup_{\mathcal{F}} D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') = \sup_{\mathcal{F}} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{f\in F_n} \rho(x_f, x'_f),$$

where the supremum is taken over all Følner sequences $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. By the definition of a Følner sequence, for every $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset G$ and every Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, the sequence $\{F_n g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is also Følner.Therefore the right hand side of the requested equality is greater than or equal to the left one.

By Lemma 5 to prove the converse inequality it is enough to show that for every finite set $K \subset G$ and there exists $t \in G$ such that for every $\beta \in (0, 1)$ satisfying

$$\alpha := \sup_{\mathcal{F}} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{f\in F_n} \rho(x_f, x'_f) > \beta \text{ one has } \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{f\in Kt} \rho(x_f, x'_f) > \beta.$$

To this end choose a Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \nearrow \infty$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{|F_{k_n}|}\sum_{f\in F_{k_n}} \rho(x_f, x'_f) > \frac{\beta+\alpha}{2} \text{ for every } n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Since K is finite, there exists $n \geq N$ such that for every $k \in K$ one has

$$\frac{|F_n \setminus kF_n|}{|F_n|} \leq \frac{|kF_n \triangle F_n|}{|F_n|} < \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}.$$

Consequently, for n large enough and any $k \in K$ one has

$$\frac{1}{|F_{k_n}|}\sum_{f\in kF_{k_n}} \rho(x_f, x'_f) \geq \frac{1}{|F_{k_n}|}\sum_{f\in F_{k_n}} \rho(x_f, x'_f) - \frac{1}{|F_{k_n}|}\sum_{f\in F_{k_n}\setminus kF_{k_n}} \rho(x_f, x'_f) > \beta,$$

which leads to

$$\sum_{t\in F_{k_n}}\sum_{f\in Kt} \rho(x_f, x'_f) = \sum_{k\in K}\sum_{f\in kF_{k_n}} \rho(x_f, x'_f) > |K||F_{k_n}|\beta.$$

Therefore there exists $t \in F_{k_n}$ satisfying the requested condition and the claim follows. □

Define $D'_W \colon X^G \times X^G \to \mathbb{R}_+$ as

$$D'_W(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) = \inf\left\{\varepsilon > 0 \,:\, \limsup_{N\to\infty}\sup_{g\in G}\frac{1}{|F_N|}|\{f \in F_N g \,:\, \rho(x_f, z_f) > \varepsilon\}| < \varepsilon\right\} =$$
$$= \inf\left\{\varepsilon > 0 \,:\, D^*\big(\{g \in G \,:\, \rho(x_g, z_g) > \varepsilon\}\big) < \varepsilon\right\}.$$

Lemma 1 yields immediately the following corollaries.

Corollary 9. *The pseudometrics D_W and D'_W are uniformly equivalent.*

Corollary 10. *Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be another compatible metric on X and $\tilde{D}_W$ be defined as D_W above with $\tilde{\rho}$ in place of ρ. Then D_W and $\tilde{D}_W$ are uniformly equivalent on X^G.*

It occurs that if (X, G) is a shift space (symbolic dynamical system), then the Besicovitch pseudometric is uniformly equivalent with the $\bar{d}$-pseudometric measuring the upper density of the set of coordinates at which two symbolic sequences differ. As a corollary we get an analogous claim for the Weyl pseudometric and the upper Banach density. We omit the proof of Theorem 11 as it follows the same lines as [19, Proposition 1, Corollary 1] (see also [30, Theorem 4]).

Given a continuous function $f \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ define

$$f(\underline{x}_G) = \{f(gx)\}_{g\in G} \in \mathbb{R}^G$$

and for any family $\mathcal{K}$ of continuous functions from X to $\mathbb{R}$ and a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} \subset G$ set

$$D^{\mathcal{K}}_W(x, x') = \sup_{\varphi\in\mathcal{K}} D_W(\varphi(\underline{x}_G), \varphi(\underline{x}'_G)),$$
$$D^{\mathcal{K}}_{B,\mathcal{F}}(x, x') = \sup_{\varphi\in\mathcal{K}} D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(\varphi(\underline{x}_G), \varphi(\underline{x}'_G)).$$

Theorem 11. *Let (X, G) be a dynamical system and let $\mathcal{K}$ be a uniformly equicontinuous and uniformly bounded family of real-valued functions on X such that $\mathcal{K}_G = \{x \mapsto \varphi(gx) : \varphi \in \mathcal{K}, g \in G\}$ separates the points of X. Then for any Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}$ the pseudometrics $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}$ and $D^{\mathcal{K}}_{B,\mathcal{F}}$ are uniformly equivalent on X. Moreover, the modulus of uniform equivalence does not depend on the choice of a Følner sequence and consequently, the pseudometrics D_W and $D^{\mathcal{K}}_W$ are also uniformly equivalent.*

Observe that any finite family $\mathcal{K}$ is uniformly equicontinuous and uniformly bounded. In particular, taking $\mathcal{K} = \{\iota_e\}$, where $\iota_e(\underline{x}_G) = x_e$ yields the following corollary.

Corollary 12. *If $\mathscr{A}$ is a finite set with discrete topology, then for every Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ the Besicovitch pseudometric associated with any admissible metric on the product space $\mathscr{A}^G$ endowed with the shift action of G and the $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}$-*pseudometric *on $\mathscr{A}^G$ given by*

$$\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(x,x') = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(\{g\in G : x_g \neq x'_g\}) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\big|\{f\in F_n : x_f \neq x'_f\}\big|}{|F_n|},$$

are uniformly equivalent and a modulus of uniform equivalence does not depend on $\mathcal{F}$. Therefore an analogous statement is true for the Weyl pseudometric, that is D_W is uniformly equivalent to the pseudometric D^* given for $\underline{x},\underline{x}' \in \mathscr{A}^G$ by

$$D^*(\underline{x},\underline{x}') = D^*(\{g\in G : x_g \neq x'_g\}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{g\in G} \frac{\big|\{f\in F_n g : x_f \neq x'_f\}\big|}{|F_n|}.$$

To sum up this section we gather the facts about the Weyl pseudometric in one theorem.

Theorem 13. *Let G be a countable amenable group acting on a compact metric space (X,ρ). Fix any Følner sequence $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then for any $\underline{x},\underline{z}\in X^G$ one has*

$$D_W(\underline{x},\underline{z}) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\sup_{g\in G}\frac{1}{|H_n|}\sum_{f\in H_n g}\rho(x_f,z_f)\right) = \sup_{\mathcal{F}} D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x},\underline{z}) =$$
$$= \sup_{\mathcal{F}}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{f\in F_N}\rho(x_f,z_f) = \inf_{F\in\mathrm{Fin}(G)}\frac{1}{|F|}\sup_{g\in G}\sum_{f\in F}\rho(x_{fg},z_{fg}).$$

Moreover, D_W is uniformly equivalent to D'_W given by

$$D'_W(\underline{x},\underline{z}) = \inf\left(\left\{\varepsilon>0 \,:\, \lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_{g\in G}\frac{1}{|F_N|}|\{f\in F_N g \,:\, \rho(fx,fz)>\varepsilon\}| < \varepsilon\right\}\right).$$

If X is a finite set with discrete topology and X^G is endowed with the shift action of G, then both D_W and D'_W are uniformly equivalent to the pseudmetric D^* defined as

$$D^*(\underline{x},\underline{z}) = D^*(\{g\in G : x_g \neq z_g\}).$$

4. Quasi-uniform Convergence and Simplices of Measures

Given a finite set $F\subset G$ and a sequence $\underline{x} = \{x_g\}_{g\in G}\in X^G$ denote by $\mathfrak{m}(\underline{x},F)\in\mathcal{M}(X)$ the *empirical measure* of x with respect to F, that is let

$$\mathfrak{m}(\underline{x},F) = \frac{1}{|F|}\sum_{f\in F}\hat{\delta}_{x_f},$$

where $\hat{\delta}_z$ denotes the Dirac measure supported at z.

Fix a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We will now describe measures obtained by taking limits of finitely supported measures read along segments of a sequence. A measure $\mu\in\mathcal{M}(X)$ is a *distribution measure* for a sequence $\underline{x} = \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in X^G$ if μ is a weak-* limit of some subsequence of $\{\mathfrak{m}(\underline{x},F_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The set of all distribution measures of a sequence $\underline{x}$ (with respect to $\mathcal{F}$) is denoted by $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x})$. Clearly $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x})$ is a closed and nonempty subset of $\mathcal{M}(X)$. For $x\in X$ we put $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}_G)$.

Remark 14. If the Følner sequence satisfies $|F_n|/|F_{n+1}|\to 1$ as $n\to\infty$ and $F_n\subset F_{n+1}$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, then for every $\underline{x}\in X^G$ the set $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x})$ is connected.

Proof. Fix $\underline{x} \in X^G$. Let B be a Borel set. One has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m}(\underline{x}, F_{n+1})(B) &= \frac{1}{|F_{n+1}|}\sum_{f\in F_{n+1}} \hat{\delta}_{x_f}(B)\\ &= \frac{|F_n|}{|F_{n+1}|}\cdot\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{f\in F_{n+1}} \hat{\delta}_{x_f}(B) \le \mathfrak{m}(\underline{x}, F_n)(B) + \frac{|F_{n+1}|-|F_n|}{|F_{n+1}|}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$D_P(\mathfrak{m}(\underline{x}, F_{n+1}), \mathfrak{m}(\underline{x}, F_n)) \le \frac{|F_{n+1}|-|F_n|}{|F_{n+1}|} \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty$$

and so $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x})$ is connected by [38]. □

Remark 15. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a dynamical system (X, G), a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $x \in X$ such that $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ is not connected and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |F_n|/|F_{n+1}| = 1-\varepsilon.$$

Proof. Consider $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $X = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let σ be the shift map. Choose a metric $\rho\colon X\times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which gives the product topology and satisfies $\mathrm{dist}_\rho([0],[1]) = 1/2$. Let $\gamma := \varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon)$. Define recursively a sequence $g_1 = 1/\gamma + 1$, $g_n = (1+\gamma)g_{n-1}$ for $n \ge 2$. Put $f_{2n-1} = \lceil g_{2n-1}\rceil$ and $f_{2n} = \lfloor g_{2n}\rfloor$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$. We obtain a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by taking $F_n = \{0,1,\dots,f_n-1\}$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $|F_n|/|F_{n+1}| \to 1/(1+\gamma) = 1-\varepsilon$. Let $x = \{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfy $x_i = 1$ if $i < f_1$ or $i \in (f_{2n}, f_{2n+1})$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $x_i = 0$ otherwise. Define

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\mu\in\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(x) : \mu = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathfrak{m}(x, F_{2k_n}) \text{ for some } k_n \nearrow \infty\}$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{O} = \{\nu\in\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(x) : \nu = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathfrak{m}(x, F_{2l_n+1}) \text{ for some } l_n \nearrow \infty\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{O}\cup\mathcal{E} = \hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$. Moreover, one can easily see that if $\mu\in\mathcal{E}$, then for every $\eta < 1/2$ one has $\mu([1]^\eta) = \mu([1]) = 1/(2+\gamma)$ and if $\nu\in\mathcal{O}$, then $\nu([1]) = (1+\gamma)/(2+\gamma)$. Therefore $\mathrm{dist}_{D_P}(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}) > 0$ and so $\mathcal{O}\cap\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$. Since both $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are non-empty and closed, $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ is not connected. □

For $\underline{x}\in X^G$ let

$$\mathcal{M}_G(\underline{x}) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{F}} \hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}),$$

where the union is taken over all Følner sequences $\mathcal{F}$. Denote also $\mathcal{M}_G(x) = \mathcal{M}_G(\underline{x}_G)$.

Remark 16. For any $x\in X$ the set $\mathcal{M}_G(x)$ is closed.

Proof. Fix $x\in X$ and pick $\{\mu_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_G(x)$ such that $\mu_k \to \mu$ as $k\to\infty$ for some $\mu\in\mathcal{M}(X)$. We will show that $\mu\in\mathcal{M}_G(x)$. For every $k\in\mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{F}^{(k)} = \{F_n^{(k)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Følner sequence such that $\mathfrak{m}(x, F_n^{(k)}) \to \mu_k$ as $n\to\infty$. Enumerate elements of G, that is let $G = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$. For every $k\in\mathbb{N}$ choose $n(k)\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $D_P\left(\mathfrak{m}(x, F_{n(k)}^{(k)}), \mu_k\right) < 1/k$ and for every $i\le k$ one has

$$\frac{\left|g_i F_{n(k)}^{(k)} \triangle F_{n(k)}^{(k)}\right|}{\left|F_{n(k)}^{(k)}\right|} < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Define $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{n(k)}^{(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. Notice that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Følner sequence and $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \{\mu\}$. Hence the claim follows. □

Downarowicz and Iwanik proved that the map, which we may describe in our notation as $x \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}(x)$ is continuous when one considers the Weyl pseudometric on $(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and the Hausdorff metric H on the family of nonempty compact subsets of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ (see [19], Theorem 2). In other words, if $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ quasi-uniformly in X, then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}(x_n)$ tends to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}(x)$ in H. We are going to show an analogous result for the map $\underline{x} \mapsto \mathcal{M}(\underline{x})$ which maps X^G equipped with D_W to the space of nonempty compact subsets of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ equipped with the Hausdorff metric H.

Remark 17. In [9] it was proved that in general the topology generated by the Weyl pseudometric is neither separable, nor complete. In particular, it is not compact.

Repeating the proof of [30, Theorem 7] with the obvious modifications we get the following theorem.

Theorem 18. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\underline{x}, \underline{x}' \in X^G$ with $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') < \delta$ one has $H(\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}), \hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}')) < \varepsilon$. Moreover, δ does not depend on the choice of the Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}$.*

Corollary 19. *Let $\underline{x}, \underline{x}' \in X^G$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Følner sequence. If $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') = 0$, then $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}) = \hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}}(\underline{x}')$. In particular, if $x, z \in X$, x is generic for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(X)$ with respect to a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}$ and $D_{B,\mathcal{F}}(x, z) = 0$, then also z is generic for μ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$.*

As a straightforward consequence of Theorem 18 and Lemma 8 we get the following theorem.

Theorem 20. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $D_W(x, z) < \delta$, then $H(\mathcal{M}_G(x), \mathcal{M}_G(z)) < \varepsilon$.*

To prove Lemma 23 we need two technical lemmas. We omit their easy proofs.

Lemma 21. *Let $F \in \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ and $x, y \in X$. If for every $f \in F$ one has $\rho(fx, fy) \leq \varepsilon$, then $D_P(\mathfrak{m}(x, F), \mathfrak{m}(y, F)) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Lemma 22. *Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \in [0, 1]$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i = 1$. For all $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ one has*

$$D_P\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \nu_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i - \beta_i| + \max\left\{D_P(\mu_i, \nu_i) \,:\, 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}.$$

Lemma 23. *For every $x \in X$ one has $\mathcal{M}_G(x) = \mathcal{M}_G(\overline{Gx})$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{M}_G(x) \subset \mathcal{M}_G(\overline{Gx})$ is obvious. To prove the opposite one pick $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(\overline{Gx})$ and fix a tempered Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. It follows from the Krein-Milman theorem that

$$\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_1^{(n)}}{q_1^{(n)}} \nu_1^{(n)} + \ldots + \frac{p_n^{(n)}}{q_n^{(n)}} \nu_n^{(n)},$$

where for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ one has $p_j^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $q_j^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j^{(n)}/q_j^{(n)} = 1$ and $\nu_j^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}_G^e(\overline{Gx})$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from Remark 16 that it is enough to prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \{F_{k,\varepsilon}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough one has

$$D_P\left(\frac{p_1^{(n)}}{q_1^{(n)}} \nu_1^{(n)} + \ldots + \frac{p_n^{(n)}}{q_n^{(n)}} \nu_n^{(n)}, \mathfrak{m}\left(x, F_{k,\varepsilon}\right)\right) < \varepsilon.$$

Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be regular generic points with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ for $\nu_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \nu_n^{(n)}$, respectively. Choose $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $k \geq K$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ one has

$$D_P\left(\nu_i^{(n)}, \mathfrak{m}(x_i, F_k)\right) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Fix $k \geq K$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that for every $f \in F_k$ and every $a, b \in X$ with $\rho(a,b) < \delta$ one has $\rho(fa, fb) < \varepsilon/2$. Denote

$$L_j = p_j^{(n)} \cdot \prod_{i \neq j} q_i^{(n)}.$$

Using Lemma 21 and the fact that for every $1 \leq j \leq n$ there exists infinitely many $g \in G$ such that $\rho(gx, x_j) < \delta$, one can find

$$g_1^{(1)}, \ldots, g_{L_1}^{(1)}, \ldots, g_1^{(n)}, \ldots, g_{L_n}^{(n)} \in G$$

such that $F_k g_i^{(j)} \cap F_k g_{i'}^{(j')} = \emptyset$ for $(i,j) \neq (i',j')$ and for every $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq L_j$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}(1)\quad & D_P\left(\mathfrak{m}(x, F_k g_i^{(j)}), \nu_j^{(n)}\right) \leq \\ & \leq D_P\left(\mathfrak{m}(x, F_k g_i^{(j)}), \mathfrak{m}(x_j, F_k)\right) + D_P\left(\mathfrak{m}(x_j, F_k), \nu_j^{(n)}\right) \leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$F_{k,\varepsilon} = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{L_j} F_k g_i^{(j)}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \{F_{k,\varepsilon}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence as the number of shifted copies of Følner sets which we take the union of does not depend on k. Moreover it follows from Lemma 22 and (1) that

$$\begin{aligned}& D_P\left(\mathfrak{m}(x, F_{k,\varepsilon}), \frac{p_1^{(n)}}{q_1^{(n)}}\nu_1^{(n)} + \ldots + \frac{p_n^{(n)}}{q_n^{(n)}}\nu_n^{(n)}\right) = \\ & \quad = D_P\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{L_j} \frac{1}{\prod_{s=1}^{n} q_j^{(n)}} \cdot \mathfrak{m}(x, F_k g_i^{(j)}), \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{L_j} \frac{1}{\prod_{s=1}^{n} q_j^{(n)}} \cdot \nu_j^{(n)}\right) \leq \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

As a corollary of Theorem 20 and Lemma 23 we get the following.

Corollary 24. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $D_W(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) < \delta$, then $H(\mathcal{M}_G(\overline{Gx}), \mathcal{M}_G(\overline{Gz})) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Remark 25. It is known that the number of extreme points of a compact convex subset of a locally compact space varies lower semicontinuously when we endow the space of compact sets with Hausdorff metric. Therefore it follows from Corollary 24 that the number of ergodic invariant measures supported at $\overline{Gx}$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to the Weyl pseudometric.

5. Topological Entropy

This section generalizes [19, Section 5]. Fix a dynamical system (X, G). Pick a Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. For an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of the space X denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ the minimal cardinality of a subcover of $\mathcal{U}$. The *join* of $\mathcal{U}$ with another open cover $\mathcal{V}$, denoted $\mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V} = \{U \cap V : U \in \mathcal{U},\, V \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

The operation of joining of covers is associative and can be iterated. Given $f \in G$ one can form a cover $f^{-1}\mathcal{U} = \{f^{-1}U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Let $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\} \subset G$ be a finite set. By $\mathcal{U}^F$ we understand the cover

$$\mathcal{U}^F = \bigvee_{f\in F} f^{-1}\mathcal{U} = (f_1^{-1}\mathcal{U}) \vee \ldots \vee (f_s^{-1}\mathcal{U}).$$

The following limit is called the *topological entropy* of a system (X, G) with respect to a cover $\mathcal{U}$:

$$h(X, G, \mathcal{U}) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{N}\left(\mathcal{U}^{F_n}\right)}{|F_n|}.$$

Note that it follows from Theorem 6 that the above limit exists and does not depend on the choice of the Følner sequence. The *topological entropy* of the action of G is defined as

$$h_{\text{top}}(X) = h_{\text{top}}(X, G) = \sup\{h(\mathcal{U}, G) : \mathcal{U} \text{ is an open cover of } X\}.$$

We refer the reader to [10, 43] for properties of this notion.

Remark 26. In [16, Theorem 6.8] it is shown that

$$h_{\text{top}}(X) = \sup_{\mathcal{U}} \inf_{F\in \text{Fin}(G)} \frac{1}{|F|} \log \mathcal{N}\left(\mathcal{U}^F\right).$$

Let $F \in \text{Fin}(G)$ and $\delta, \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. A set $Z \subset X$ is called

- (F, ε)-*separated* if for every $x, z \in Z$ with $x \neq z$ there exists $g \in F$ such that $\rho(gx, gz) > \varepsilon$,
- (F, ε)-*spanning* if for every $x \in X$ there exists $z \in Z$ such that for every $g \in F$ one has $\rho(gx, gz) \leq \varepsilon$,
- (F, ε, δ)-*spanning* if for every $x \in X$ there exists $z \in Z$ such that
$$|\{g \in F : \rho(gx, gz) \leq \varepsilon\}| > (1 - \delta) \cdot |F|,$$
- (F, ε, δ)-*separated* if for every $x, z \in F$ either $x = z$ or
$$|\{g \in F : \rho(gx, gz) > \varepsilon\}| > \delta \cdot |F|.$$

Fix a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Denote by $s(n, \varepsilon)$, $r(n, \varepsilon)$, $\tilde{s}(n, \varepsilon, \delta)$, $\tilde{r}(n, \varepsilon, \delta)$ the maximal cardinality of an (F_n, ε)-separated set, minimal cardinality of an (F_n, ε)-spanning set, maximal cardinality of an $(F_n, \varepsilon, \delta)$-separated set, and the minimal cardinality of an $(F_n, \varepsilon, \delta)$-spanning set, respectively. Define

$$h_s(X, G) = \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0^+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log s(n, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|}, \quad \tilde{h}_s(X, G) = \lim_{\delta\searrow 0^+} \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0^+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \tilde{s}(n, \varepsilon, \delta)}{|F_n|},$$
$$h_r(X, G) = \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0^+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log r(n, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|}, \quad \tilde{h}_r(X, G) = \lim_{\delta\searrow 0^+} \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0^+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \tilde{r}(n, \varepsilon, \delta)}{|F_n|}.$$

It is known that $h_s(X, G) = h_r(X, G) = h_{\text{top}}(X, G)$ and we will show that $\tilde{h}_s(X, G) = \tilde{h}_r(X, G) = h_{\text{top}}(X, G)$.

We need the following Lemmas. For the proof of Lemma 27 see for example [39, Lemma I.5.4]. Lemma 28 is an analog of [19, Lemma 3].

Lemma 27. *Let* $E_S(\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon \log \varepsilon - (1 - \varepsilon) \log(1 - \varepsilon)$. *If* $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$, *then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n\varepsilon\rfloor} \binom{n}{j} \leq 2^{n\cdot E_S(\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Lemma 28. *For any finite open cover* $\mathcal{U}$ *of the space* X *one has* $h(\mathcal{U}, G) \leq \tilde{h}_r(X, G)$.

Proof. Let 2ε be the Lebesgue number of $\mathcal{U}$. Fix $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$. We will show that

$$h(X,\mathcal{U},G) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r(n,\varepsilon,\delta) + \log 2 \cdot E_S(\delta) + \delta. \tag{2}$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let Z be a $(F_n, \varepsilon, \delta)$-spanning set such that $|Z| = r(n, \varepsilon, \delta)$. For every $K \subset F_n$ and $y \in Z$ define

$$V(y, K) = \bigcap_{g\in K} g^{-1}\big(B(gy, \varepsilon)\big),$$

where $B(gy, \varepsilon) = \{a \in X : \rho(gy, a) < \varepsilon\}$. Notice that $V(y, K) \subset \bigvee_{g\in K} g^{-1}\mathcal{U}$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{K\subset F_n, |K|>|F_n|(1-\delta)} \left(\{V(y, K) : y \in Z\} \vee \bigvee_{g\in F_n\setminus K} g^{-1}\mathcal{U} \right)$$

is a refinement of a cover $\mathcal{U}^{F_n}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\mathcal{U}^{F_n}\right) \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \left|\left\{K \subset F_n : |K| > |F_n| \cdot (1-\delta)\right\}\right| \cdot |Z| \cdot |\mathcal{U}|^{|F_n|\cdot\delta} =$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil |F_n|\delta\rceil} \binom{|F_n|}{k} \cdot |Z| \cdot |\mathcal{U}|^{|F_n|\cdot\delta} \leq 2^{|F_n|E_S(\delta)} \cdot |Z| \cdot |\mathcal{U}|^{|F_n|\cdot\delta}.$$

It follows from the choice of Z that the above implies (2). Notice that $E_S(\delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$ and so (2) implies the claim of the theorem. □

Lemma 29. *One has* $\tilde{h}_s(X, G) = \tilde{h}_r(X, G)$.

Proof. Notice that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1]$ one has

$$r(n, \varepsilon, \delta) \leq s(n, \varepsilon, \delta) \leq r(n, 2\varepsilon, \delta).$$

This clearly implies the claim. □

Theorem 30. *In the notation introduced above one has* $\tilde{h}_s(X, G) = \tilde{h}_r(X, G) = h_{top}(X, G)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 28 and Lemma 29 that $h(X, G) \leq \tilde{h}_r(X, G) = \tilde{h}_s(X, G)$. Moreover $\tilde{h}_s(X, G) \leq h_s(X, G) = h_{\text{top}}(X, G)$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

For any $x \in X$ define $h(x)$ as the topological entropy of G-action induced on $\overline{Gx}$, that is $h(x) = h_{\text{top}}(\overline{Gx}, G)$. We will show that the function which goes from the space X equipped with D_W to $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ with the natural topology and assigns to every $x \in X$ the value of $h(x)$ is lower semicontinuous. This generalizes the result from [19] where analogous claim is proved for the action of $\mathbb{Z}$.

For $x \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1]$ define $s(x, n, \varepsilon, \delta)$ as the maximal cardinality of $(F_n, \varepsilon, \delta)$-separated set in the system $(\overline{Gx}, G)$. The proof of Lemma 31 follows the same lines as [19, Lemma 4].

Lemma 31. *If* $D'_W(x, y) < \delta$, *then* $s(y, n, \delta, \delta) \geq s(x, n, 3\delta, 3\delta)$ *for all* n *large enough.*

Theorem 33 is an analog of [19, Theorem 3].

Theorem 32. *The function* $(X, D'_W) \ni x \mapsto h(x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *is lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. Fix $x \in X$ such that $h(x) < \infty$ and $\eta > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} s(x, n, \varepsilon, \delta) > h(x) - \eta.$$

It follows from Lemma 31 that for every $y \in X$ such that $D'_W(x, y) < \delta$ one has

$$h(y) > \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} s(y, n, \varepsilon, \delta/3) > h(x) - \eta.$$

Similar reasoning shows that if $h(x) = \infty$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ is such that $D'_W(x, y_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then $h(y_n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof. □

As a corollary of Theorem 32 and Corollary 9 we get the following.

Theorem 33. *The function $x \mapsto h(x)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to D_W-pseudometric on X and usual metric on $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$.*

Remark 34. In [19, Example 3] it is shown that the function $(X, D_W) \ni x \mapsto h(x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ need not to be continuous even in case of $\mathbb{Z}$ action.

Below we provide a proof of the continuty of the function $x \mapsto h(x)$ in case when $X = \mathscr{A}^G$, where $\mathscr{A}$ is a finite set. The reasoning here is independent of Theorem 32. Note that in this case $h(x) \leq \log |\mathscr{A}|$.

Theorem 35. *Let $x \in \mathscr{A}^G$. The function $x \mapsto h(x)$ is continuous with respect to D^*-pseudometric on $\mathscr{A}^G$ and usual metric on $[0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Fix a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{F_n}(x)$ denote the number of different configurations of shape F_n that appear in x. Taking a subsequence if necessary we may assume that

$$h_{\mathrm{top}}(\overline{Gx}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{B}_{F_n}(x)|}{|F_n|}.$$

Pick $0 < \delta < 1/4$ so that $t < 2\delta$ implies $E_S(t) < \varepsilon$. Note that $D_W(x, x') < \delta$ implies that for all $g \in G$ we have

$$|\{f \in F_n : x_{fg} \neq x'_{fg}\}| < 2\delta |F_n|$$

for all n large enough. By Lemma 27 we have for all n large enough that

$$|\mathcal{B}_{F_n}(x')| \leq 2^{|F_n| \cdot E_S(\delta)} |\mathcal{B}_{F_n}(x)|.$$

Thus

$$h_{\mathrm{top}}(\overline{Gx'}) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{B}_{F_n}(x')|}{|F_n|} \leq E_S(\delta) + h_{\mathrm{top}}(\overline{Gx}).$$

Interchanging the roles of x and x' finishes the proof. □

6. The Number of Minimal Components of $\overline{Gx}$

Let $m(x) \colon (X, D_W) \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ assign to every $x \in X$ the number of minimal components of $\overline{Gx}$. In this section we will prove that the function m is lower semicontinuous. This is a generalization of [19, Theorem 1]. For any $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$ define the *set of return times* $N(x, A)$ as $N(x, A) = \{g \in G : gx \in A\}$.

Lemma 36. *If $Z \subset \overline{Gx}$ is an invariant set, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $N(x, Z^\varepsilon)$ is thick.*

Proof. We have to show that for every finite set $F \subset G$ one can find $\gamma \in N(x, Z^\varepsilon)$ such that for every $f \in F^{-1}$ one has $f\gamma x \in Z^\varepsilon$. Choose $y \in Z$. Let $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon/2)$ be such that for all $a, b \in X$ with $\rho(a, b) < \delta$ one has $\rho(fa, fb) < \varepsilon$ for every $f \in F^{-1}$. There exists $\gamma \in G$ such that $\rho(\gamma x, y) < \delta$. This means that $\gamma \in N(x, Z^\varepsilon)$ and for every $f \in F^{-1}$ one has $\rho(f\gamma x, y) < \varepsilon$. This implies that $f\gamma x \in Z^\varepsilon$. □

The next Lemma combines [6, Proposition 2.18] and [19, Lemma 1].

Lemma 37. *Let (X, G) be a transitive dynamical system and $x \in X$ be such that $X = \overline{Gx}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) One has $m(x) \leq m$.
(2) There exist $p \leq m$ closed G-invariant sets $N_1, \ldots, N_p \subset X$ such that for every $z \in X$ there exists $1 \leq i \leq p$ satisfying $N_i \subset \overline{Gz}$.
(3) There exist $p \leq m$ closed G-invariant sets $P_1, \ldots, P_p \subset X$ such that for every open set $U \subset X$ intersecting P_i for every $1 \leq i \leq p$ the set $N(x, U)$ is syndetic.
(4) There exist m points $z_1, \ldots, z_m \in X$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $N(x, Z^\varepsilon)$ is syndetic, where $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$.

Proof. To prove the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) let $N_1, \ldots, N_p$ be all minimal subsets of X. Note that for $z \in X$ the set $\overline{Gz}$ is nonempty, closed and invariant and hence contains N_i for some $1 \leq i \leq p$. Thus (2) holds.

To show the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) let $P_i = N_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, where N_i's are as in (2). Choose an open set U such that $U \cap P_i \neq \emptyset$ for every $1 \leq i \leq p$. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that $x \in V := gU$. Since $g^{-1}N(x, U) \supset N(x, V)$ it is enough to show that $N(x, V)$ is syndetic. Suppose that it is not true. Let $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of finite sets whose union is equal to G. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $\gamma_n \in G$ such that for every $f \in F_n$ one has $f\gamma_n x \notin V$. Let $y \in X$ be a limit point of $\{\gamma_n x\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then $\overline{Gy} \cap V = \emptyset$, which contradicts (2).

The implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) is obvious and we will show that (4) implies (1). To this end suppose that $m(x) > m$. Let $Z_1, \ldots Z_{m+1} \subset \overline{Gx}$ be disjoint minimal sets. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $i \neq j$ one has $\operatorname{dist}(Z_i, Z_j) > 2\varepsilon$. Notice that for any set Z consisting of m points $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ there exists $1 \leq i_0 \leq m$ such that Z^ε is disjoint from $Z^\varepsilon_{i_0}$. Therefore $N(x, Z^\varepsilon) \cap N(x, Z^\varepsilon_{i_0}) = \emptyset$. But it follows from Lemma 36 that $N(x, Z^\varepsilon_{i_0})$ is thick. Hence $N(x, Z^\varepsilon)$ is not syndetic. □

Theorem 38. *The function $m(x)\colon (X, D_W) \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. Fix $x \in X$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ fulfill $m(x) > m$. Choose $Z_1, \ldots, Z_{m+1} \subset X$ such that $Z_i \cap Z_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and for every $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$ the set Z_i is minimal. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\operatorname{dist}(Z_i, Z_j) > 2\varepsilon$ for every $i \neq j$. We will show that for any $y \in X$ with $D'_W(x, y) < \varepsilon/6$ one has $m(y) > m$. Fix such a point y. Let $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a fixed Følner sequence. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $g \in G$ one has

$$\left|\left\{f \in gF_N \,:\, \rho(fx, fy) > \frac{\varepsilon}{6}\right\}\right| < \frac{\varepsilon|F_N|}{6} < \frac{|F_N|}{2}.$$

Let $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon/3)$ be such that for all $a, b \in X$ and $g \in F_N$ the inequality $\rho(a, b) < 2\delta$ implies that $\rho(ga, gb) < \varepsilon/3$. Let $Z(y) = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{m(y)}\}$ be constructed as in Lemma 37 for y. There exists a finite set $F \subset G$ such that $FN(y, Z(y)^\delta) = G$. In other words, for every $\gamma \in G$ one has $F^{-1}\gamma \cap N(y, Z(y)^\delta) \neq \emptyset$. Fix $1 \leq s \leq m + 1$. There exists $\gamma_0 \in G$ such that $F^{-1}\gamma_0 \subset N(x, Z^\delta_s)$. Therefore $N(x, Z^\delta_s) \cap N(y, Z(y)^\delta) \neq \emptyset$. Let $g(s) \in G$ and $1 \leq r(s) \leq m(y)$ be such that $g(s)x \in Z^\delta_s$ and $\rho(g(s)y, z_{r(s)}) < \delta$. Notice that for more than the half of elements $f \in F_N$ one has

$$\operatorname{dist}(fz_{r(s)}, Z_s) \leq \rho(fz_{r(s)}, fg(s)y) + \rho(fg(s)x, fg(s)y) + \operatorname{dist}(fg(s)x, Z_s) \leq \\ \leq \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/6 + \delta \leq \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, if $s \neq t$, then also $r(s) \neq r(t)$. Therefore $m(y) \geq m + 1 > m$. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 39. [19, Example 2] shows that there exists a dynamical system $(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the function m is not continuous.

7. Toeplitz Sequences

Let $(\mathscr{A}, d)$ be a finite space with a discrete metric. An element $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ is called a *Toeplitz sequence* if for every $g \in G$ there exists a finite index subgroup $H \subset G$ such that one has $\underline{x}(Hg) = \underline{x}(g)$. For a finite index subgroup $H \subset G$ and $a \in \mathscr{A}$ define:

$$\mathrm{Per}_H(\underline{x}) = \big\{g \in G : \underline{x}(g) = \underline{x}(\gamma g) \text{ for every } \gamma \in H\big\},$$
$$\mathrm{Per}_H(\underline{x}, a) = \big\{g \in G : \underline{x}(\gamma g) = a \text{ for every } \gamma \in H\big\}.$$

Notice that $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ is a Toeplitz sequence if

$$\bigcup_{|G:H|<\infty} \mathrm{Per}_H(\underline{x}) = G.$$

Thorough this section we assume that G is a countable amenable residually finite group. Note however that in the Proposition 55 the assumption of the amenability of G is redundant. Similarly, the definition of Toeplitz sequence do not force G to be amenable.

Let $\{H_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a decreasing (that is, $H_1 \supset H_2 \supset \ldots$) sequence of subgroups with finite index in G (we do not assume here that the intersection of H_i's is trivial). The discrete space $X_i = G/H_i$ (for $i \geq 0$) together with natural projections $\pi_i \colon G/H_{i+1} \to G/H_i$ form an inverse system. The inverse limit of the system $\varprojlim(G/H_i, \pi_i)$ is called the G-odometer and is denoted by $\hat{G}$. The odometer is *exact* if all defining subgroups H_i are normal. Every G-odometer has a natural G action.

Remark 40. Notice that $\hat{G}$ is not necessarily equal to a profinite completion of G as here we consider only subgroups from the fixed sequence $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

We say that a sequence of finite index subgroups $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a *skeleton* of $\underline{x} \in X^G$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

- for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $H_{n+1} \subset H_n$,
- $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x}) = G$,
- for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x}) \neq \emptyset$,
- for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if for some $g \in G$ and every $a \in \mathscr{A}$ one has $\mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x}, a) = \mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(g\underline{x}, a)$, then $g \in H_n$.

Theorem 41 ([12], Corollary 6)**.** *Every Toeplitz sequence has a skeleton.*

Our goal now is to give a definition of a *regular* Toeplitz sequence. To justify that the regularity of a Toeplitz sequence (or configuration) does not depend on the choice of a skeleton we need the following results from [12]. Note that the standing assumption in [12] is that G is finitely generated. But the inspetion of proofs in [12] leads to the conclusion that the results we need hold without that assumption.

Theorem 42 ([12], Proposition 7)**.** *If $\{H_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a skeleton of a Toeplitz sequence $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$, then $\hat{G} = \varprojlim(G/H_i, \pi_i)$ is the maximal equicontinuous factor of the minimal system $(\overline{G\underline{x}}, G)$ and there is a factor map $\pi \colon \overline{G\underline{x}} \to \hat{G}$ such that $\pi^{-1}(\{eH_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}) = \{\underline{x}\}$.*

Lemma 43 ([12], Lemma 2)**.** *Let $\hat{G}_1 = \varprojlim(G/H_i^{(1)}, \pi_i)$ and $\hat{G}_2 = \varprojlim(G/H_i^{(2)}, \pi_i)$ be two G-odometers. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\tilde{e}_i^{(j)}$ denote the class of e in $G/H_j^{(i)}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) There exists a factor map $\pi \colon (\hat{G}_1, G) \to (\hat{G}_2, G)$ such that $\pi(\{\tilde{e}_j^{(1)}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) = \{\tilde{e}_j^{(2)}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$.

(2) For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_k^{(1)} \subset H_i^{(2)}$.

Corollary 44. *If $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ is a Toeplitz sequence and $\{H_i^{(1)}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{H_i^{(2)}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ are skeletons of $\underline{x}$, then for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_k^{(1)} \subset H_i^{(2)}$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{G}_1$, $\hat{G}_2$ be G-odometers associated with $\{H_i^{(1)}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{H_i^{(2)}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, respectively. It follows from Lemma 43 that to prove our claim it is enough to construct a factor map $\pi\colon (\hat{G}_1, G) \to (\hat{G}_2, G)$ such that $\pi(\{\tilde{e}_j^{(1)}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) = \{\tilde{e}_j^{(2)}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $\tilde{e}_j^{(i)}$'s are defined as in Lemma 43. For $i \in \{1,2\}$ denote by $\pi_i\colon (\overline{Gx}, G) \to (\hat{G}_i, G)$ factor maps provided by Theorem 42. Let F be a fiber of π_1. Define $\tilde{\pi}_1^{-1}\colon \hat{G}_1 \to F$ the inverse of $\pi_1|_F$. Then $\pi := \pi_2 \circ \tilde{\pi}_1^{-1}\colon \hat{G}_1 \to \hat{G}_2$ satisfies requested conditions. □

We call a Toeplitz sequence $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ *regular* if there exists a skeleton $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\underline{x}$ such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} D^*\big(\mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x})\big) = 1.$$

Remark 45. It follows from Corollary 44 that if $\underline{x}$ is regular, then for every skeleton $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ one has

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} D^*\big(\mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x})\big) = 1.$$

Remark 46. Note that if for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the group G has only finitely many finite index subgroups of index at most N then there exists a universal sequence of finite index subgroups $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that for every finite index subgroup Γ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_n < \Gamma$. To see this enumerate finite index subgroups as $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots$ and define

$$H_n := \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_i.$$

We say that $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ is *periodic* if there exists a finite index subgroup H of G such that $\underline{x}(g) = \underline{x}(\gamma g)$ for every $g \in G$ and $\gamma \in H$. In other words $\mathrm{Per}_H(\underline{x}) = G$.

The following lemma is well-known (cf. [18, Lemma 2.6]).

Lemma 47. *Let $F, K \in \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If F is $(K, \varepsilon/|K|)$-invariant, then*

$$|\{s \in F \,:\, Ks \subset G\}| \geq (1-\varepsilon)|F|.$$

Recall that a *fundamental domain* of a subgroup H of finite index is a set F such that for every $g \in G$ we have $|Hg \cap F| = 1$.

Lemma 48. *Let H be a finite index subgroup of G and K be a fundamental domain for H. If B is a union of cosets of H, then*

$$D^*(B) = D_*(B) = \frac{|B \cap K|}{|K|}.$$

Proof. If B is a union of cosets of H, then so is its complement. Hence it is enough to show that $D^*(B) = |B \cap K|/|K|$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Følner sequence. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $n \geq N$, the set F_n is $(K, \varepsilon/|K|)-$invariant. Define $S = \{s \in F_n \,:\, Ks \subseteq F_n\}$. By Lemma 47 we get

$$|S| \geq (1-\varepsilon)|F_n|. \tag{3}$$

Since K is a fundamental domain one has

$$\sum_{s\in S} |(Ks) \cap B| = |S||K \cap B| \tag{4}$$

On the other hand $g \in F_n$ belongs to at most $|K|$ translates of K. Thus

$$\sum_{s\in S} |(Ks) \cap B| \leq |K||B \cap F_n|. \tag{5}$$

Combining (3), (4) and (5) we obtain

$$(1-\varepsilon)|F_n||K \cap B| \leq |K||F_n \cap B|.$$

Dividing both sides by $|F_n||K|$ we get

$$(1-\varepsilon)\frac{|K \cap B|}{|K|} \leq \frac{|F_n \cap B|}{|F_n|}.$$

Taking upper limit on the right hand side leads to

$$(1-\varepsilon)\frac{|K \cap B|}{|K|} \leq \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are arbitrary, we see

$$\frac{|K \cap B|}{|K|} \leq \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) \leq \sup_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) = D^*(B).$$

On the other hand for every $t \in G$ we have

$$D_K(B) = D_{Kt}(B) = \frac{|K \cap B|}{|K|}.$$

Hence

$$D^*_K(B) = \frac{|K \cap B|}{|K|}$$

and

$$D^*(B) = \inf_{F\in \mathrm{Fin}(G)} D^*_F(B) \leq D^*_K(B) = \frac{|K \cap B|}{|K|}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

Corollary 49. *For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ the Banach density of $Per_{H_j}(\underline{x})$ exists. To see this note that if $g \in Per_{H_j}(\underline{x})$, then also $hg \in Per_{H_j}(\underline{x})$ for every $h \in H_j$. Therefore $Per_{H_j}(\underline{x})$ consists of m cosets with respect to H_j for some $0 \leq m \leq |G : H_j|$.*

Remark 50. The above corollary remains true if we skip the assumption that $\mathscr{A}$ is finite and assume that $\mathscr{A}$ is a compact metric space.

Lemma 51. *Every regular Toeplitz sequence is a quasi uniform limit of a sequence of periodic sequences.*

Proof. Fix a regular Toeplitz sequence $\underline{x} = \{x_g\}_{g\in G}$. Let (H_n) be a skeleton of $\underline{x}$. Note that $H_0 \supset H_1 \supset H_2 \supset \ldots \supset \{e\}$ and so $\mathrm{Per}_{H_m}(\underline{x}) \subset \mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x})$ for $m \leq n$. Therefore

$$1 = \sup_{m\geq 1} D^*(\mathrm{Per}_{H_m}(\underline{x})) = \lim_{m\to\infty} D^*(\mathrm{Per}_{H_m}(\underline{x})).$$

Let F_n be a fundamental domain for H_n in G. Define $\underline{x}^{(n)} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ as $\underline{x}^{(n)}(H_n f) = \underline{x}(f)$ for every $f \in F_n$. Then

$$\{g \in G : \underline{x}^{(n)}(g) \neq \underline{x}(g)\} \subset G \setminus \mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x}).$$

Thus

$$D^*(\underline{x}^{(n)}, \underline{x}) \leq 1 - D^*(\mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x}))$$

and the claim follows from the regularity of $\underline{x}$. □

The next lemma is well known and easy to prove.

Lemma 52. *If $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ is a periodic sequence, then $h(\underline{x}) = 0$ and $|\mathcal{M}_G(\underline{x})| = 1$.*

As a consequence of Lemma 52, Lemma 35 and Theorem 24 we get the following corollary:

Corollary 53. *If $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ is a regular Toeplitz sequence, then the system $(\overline{G\underline{x}}, G)$ is uniquely ergodic and has zero topological entropy.*

We need the following lemma which is a reformulation of [13, Lemma 4].

Lemma 54. *If G is an amenable residually finite group then there exist a sequence $\{H_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of normal subgroups of finite index in G and a Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{Fin}(G)$ satisfying:*

(1) $G = H_0 \supset H_1 \supset H_2 \supset \dots$ *and* $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n = \{e\}$,

(2) $\{e\} = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots$ *and* $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n = G$,

(3) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set F_n is a fundamental domain of G/H_n,

(4) $F_{i+1} = \bigsqcup_{v\in F_{i+1}\cap H_i} vF_i$ *for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,*

To the end of the paper we fix a Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 54. For $g \in G$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\tilde{g}^{(m)}$ an equivalence class of g in G/H_m. If $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$ is a Toeplitz sequence such that

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Per}_{H_n}(\underline{x}) = G,$$

then we say that $\underline{x}$ is a *Toeplitz sequence with respect to* $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We denote by $\hat{\mu}$ the Haar measure on $\hat{G}$. Let $\varphi\colon G \to \hat{G}$ be the natural homomorphism defined as $\varphi(g) = \left\{\tilde{g}^{(n)}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

We denote by $[\tilde{a}^{(k)}]$ the k-cylinder of $\tilde{a}^{(k)}$, that is

$$[\tilde{a}^{(k)}] = \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \hat{G} \,:\, a_k = \tilde{a}^{(k)} \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_k$ be the clopen partition of $\hat{G}$ into $|G : H_k|$ k-cylinders. For $f\colon \hat{G} \to \mathscr{A}$ define $U(f)$ as the union of all sets in $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$ on which f is constant.

The following proposition gives a characterization of Toeplitz sequences with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 55. *Let $f\colon \hat{G} \to \mathscr{A}$ be a map satisfying $U(f) \supset \varphi(G)$. Then the sequence given by $\eta(g) = f(\varphi(g))$ is a Toeplitz sequence with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Moreover, if $\{\eta(g)\}_{g\in G}$ is a Toeplitz sequence with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, then there exists $f\colon \hat{G} \to \mathscr{A}$ such that $U(f) \supset \varphi(G)$ and $\eta(g) = f(\varphi(g))$ for every $g \in G$.*

Proof. Suppose that $U(f) \supset \varphi(G)$. For $g \in G$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a cylinder $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$ containing $\varphi(g)$ such that f is constant on P. We claim that for every $h \in H_k$ one has $\eta(g) = \eta(hg)$. Clearly, $h \in H_k$ implies $\tilde{h}^{(i)} = \tilde{e}^{(i)}$ for every $i \le k$. Thus for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and $\underline{x} = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in P \subset \hat{G}$ we have $\varphi(h) \cdot \underline{x} = \{\tilde{h}^{(n)} x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in P$. Then

$$\eta(hg) = f(\varphi(hg)) = f(\varphi(h)\varphi(g)) = f(\varphi(g)) = \eta(g).$$

Conversely, assume that $\eta \in \mathscr{A}^G$ is a Toeplitz sequence with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $g \in G$. Then $g \in \mathrm{Per}_{H_k}(\eta, a)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathscr{A}$. Define $f(x) = a$ for every $x \in [\tilde{g}^{(k)}]$. For all $x \in \hat{G}$ on which f is not already defined let f be arbitrary. We claim that f is well defined. To see that suppose that $x \in [\tilde{g}^{(k)}] \cap [\tilde{\gamma}^{(l)}]$ for some $g, \gamma \in G$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $k \le l$. Hence $[\tilde{\gamma}^{(l)}] \subset [\tilde{g}^{(k)}]$ and then $\tilde{\gamma}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}^{(k)}$. Therefore $H_k\gamma = H_k g$ and $\eta(\gamma) = \eta(g)$. It is also clear that $\varphi(G) \subset U(f)$. Therefore the proof is completed. □

Remark 56. Note that in the proof of Proposition 55 we did not use that the sequence $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies conditions of Lemma 54.

Remark 57. We claim that if f is constructed as in Proposition 55 for a Toeplitz sequence $\underline{x} \in \mathscr{A}^G$, then $\underline{x}$ is regular if and only if $\hat{\mu}(U(f)) = 1$. To see this note that

$$\hat{\mu}(U(f)) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{|\{P \in \mathcal{P}_k \,:\, P \subset U(f)\}|}{|F_k|} =$$
$$= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{|\{f \in F_k \,:\, f \in \mathrm{Per}_{H_k}(\underline{x})\}|}{|F_k|} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{|F_k \cap \mathrm{Per}_{H_k}(\underline{x})|}{|F_k|} = \lim_{k\to\infty} D^*(\mathrm{Per}_{H_k}(\underline{x})),$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 48.

Proposition 55 and Lemma 58 below are needed to prove that the space of all Toeplitz sequences with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is path-connected with respect to D_W (Theorem 59). Later on we give also the proof of an analogous fact for the family of all Toeplitz sequences. Note that the second claim neither implies nor follows from the first one.

For $f_1, f_2 \colon \hat{G} \to \mathscr{A}$ define

$$R(f_1, f_2) = \overline{\int} d(f_1, f_2)\ \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu} :=$$
$$\inf\left\{\int_{\hat{G}} h\ \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu} \,:\, h \in \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } h(x) \geq d(f_1(x), f_2(x)) \text{ for every } x \in \hat{G}\right\}.$$

Lemma 58. *Let η_1 and η_2 be Toeplitz sequences with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and f_1, f_2 be corresponding functions from Proposition 55. Then $D_W(\eta_1, \eta_2) \leq R(f_1, f_2)$.*

Proof. Fix a continuous function $h \colon \hat{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $d(f_1(x), f_2(x)) \leq h(x)$ for every $x \in \hat{G}$. Choose $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset G$ satisfying

$$D_W(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{f\in F_n} d\big(\eta_1(fg_n), \eta_2(fg_n)\big).$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $h_n \colon \hat{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ as $h_n(x) = h(\varphi(\gamma_x g_n))$, where $\gamma_x \in F_n$ is such that $x \in [\widetilde{\gamma_x g_n}^{(n)}]$. Clearly for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the function h_n is continuous and for every $x \in \hat{G}$ one has $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(x) = h(x)$. Moreover, one can assume that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \hat{G}$ one has $h_n(x) < p(x) := 2 \cdot \mathrm{diam}(\mathscr{A})$. Therefore

$$\int_{\hat{G}} h\ \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\hat{G}} h_n\ \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu} =$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{f\in F_n} h(\varphi(fg_n)) \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{f\in F_n} d(f_1(\varphi fg_n), f_2(\varphi fg_n)) =$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{f\in F_n} d(\eta_1(fg_n), \eta_2(fg_n)) = D_W(\eta_1, \eta_2).$$

Taking infimum over h we get the claim. □

Theorem 59. *The space of all Toeplitz sequences with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is path-connected with respect to D_W.*

Proof. We start by a construction of a set $J \subset [0, 1]$ that is homeomorphic to $\hat{G}$. Denote $q_1 = |G : H_1|$ and $q_k = |H_{k-1} : H_k|$ for $k > 1$. First, choose a subset J_1 as follows, divide the interval $[0, 1]$ into $2q_1 - 1$ equal intervals and choose every other interval. In the next step, construct J_2 by dividing every interval in J_1 into $2q_2 - 1$ equal intervals and choose every other one. Continuing this procedure we obtain a

nested sequence of sets $\{J_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, where every J_k is a union of $q_1\cdot\ldots\cdot q_k$ disjoint closed intervals $I_k^1,\ldots I_k^{q_1\ldots q_k}$. Finally, define $J=\bigcap J_k$. Clearly there exists a homeomorphism $\psi\colon \hat{G}\to J$ satisfying for every measurable set $B\subset\hat{G}$ the equality $\hat{\mu}(B)=\mu(\psi(B))$, where μ is a normalized Lebesgue measure on J. We can assume that for every cylinder $C\subset\hat{G}$ one has $\psi(C)=J\cap[a,b]$ for some $a,b\in[0,1]$.

Note also that since for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ the set F_n is a fundamental domain of G/H_n we can interpret elements of $\hat{G}$ as the sequences which for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ have on the n-th coordinate some element of F_n. Then for every $g\in G$ the sequence $\varphi(g)$ is eventually constant. To see this fix $g\in G$. Since $G=\bigcup_{N\in\mathbb{N}}F_N$ there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $g\in F_N$. But for every $n\geq N$ one has $F_n\supset F_N\ni g$ and so $\varphi(g)_n=g$ and so the claim follows. Hence we can assume that $\psi(\varphi(G))$ is contained in the family of left endpoints of I_n^j's.

Let $\bar{f}\colon\hat{G}\to\mathscr{A}$ and $\bar{g}\colon\hat{G}\to\mathscr{A}$ be such that $U(\bar{f})\cap U(\bar{g})\ \supset\varphi(G)$. To finish the proof it is enough to construct functions $f_t\colon J\to\ \mathscr{A}$ for $t\in\ [0,\ 1]$ satisfying:

- $f_0\circ\psi=\bar{f}$ and $f_1\circ\psi=\bar{g}$;
- $U(f_t\circ\psi)\supset\varphi(G)$ for every $t\in[0,1]$;
- $R(f_s\circ\psi,f_t\circ\psi)\to 0$ as $s\to t$.

Define f_t as follows:

- $f_t(x)=\bar{f}(\psi^{-1}(x))$ for $x\in(t,1]$;
- $f_t(x)=\bar{g}(\psi^{-1}(x))$ for $x\in[0,t)$;
- $f_t(t)=\bar{f}(\psi^{-1}(t))$ if t is the left endpoint of some interval in J_k for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$;
- $f_t(t)=\bar{g}(\psi^{-1}(t))$ if t is the right endpoint of some interval in J_k for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

Notice that $\varphi(G)\setminus\psi^{-1}(t)\subset U(f_t\circ\psi)$. Indeed, let $q\in J$ and $q\neq t$. We assume that $q>t$ as the proof in the other case is analogous. Then, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $I:=(q-\varepsilon,q+\varepsilon)\subset(t,1]$. That means that for every $x\in I\cap J$ one has $f_t(x)=\bar{f}(\psi^{-1}(x))$ and so $\psi^{-1}(q)\in U(\bar{f}\circ\varphi)$ which implies that $\psi^{-1}(q)\in U(f_t\circ\psi)$. It remains to show that if $t\in\psi(\varphi(G))$, then $\psi^{-1}(t)\in U(f_t\circ\psi)$. But t is the left endpoint of some interval in J_k and so there exists a cylinder $P\subset\hat{G}$ such that $t\in\psi(P)$ and $\psi(P)\subset J_k$. Clearly, $f_t(x)=\bar{f}(\psi^{-1}(x))$ on $\psi(P)$ and $\psi^{-1}(t)\in U(\bar{f})$, which implies $t\in U(f_t\circ\psi)$.

Take $s,t\in[0,1]$ such that $s<t$. Then:

$$R(f_s\circ\psi,f_t\circ\psi)=\overline{\int}d(f_s\circ\psi,f_t\circ\psi)\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}\leq\int_{[s,t]\cap J}\operatorname{diam}(\mathscr{A})d\mu=\operatorname{diam}(\mathscr{A})\mu([s,t]\cap J).$$

Therefore we get $R(f_s\circ\psi,f_t\circ\psi)\to 0$ as $t\to s$ which together with Lemma 58 implies the claim. □

Lemma 60. *If G is an amenable residually finite group, then there exists a function $\Psi\colon[0,1]\to\mathscr{A}^G$ such that:*

- *For every $t\in[0,1]$ the configuration $\Psi(t)\in\mathscr{A}^G$ is a Toeplitz sequence.*
- *$\Psi(0)=0^G$ and $\Psi(1)=1^G$.*
- *For all $s,t\in[0,1]$ we have $D^*(\Psi(s),\Psi(t))\leq|s-t|$.*

Proof. Fix $t\in[0,1]$. Denote $k_0=|F_1|$. We define $\Psi(t)=\underline{x}^{(t)}=\{x_g^{(t)}\}_{g\in G}$ inductively. Let

$$q_0:=\max\{0\leq l\leq k_0\ :\ l/k_0\leq t\}.$$

Enumerate points in F_1 as $f_1^{(1)}, \dots f_{k_0}^{(1)}$. Define

$$D_1 := \bigcup_{j=1}^{q_0} f_j^{(1)} H_1.$$

If $q_0/k_0 < t < (q_0+1)/k_0$ put

$$E_1 := \bigcup_{j=q_0+2}^{k_0} f_j^{(1)} H_1.$$

Otherwise (that is if $t = q_0/k_0$) set

$$E_1 := \bigcup_{j=q_0+1}^{k_0} f_j^{(1)} H_1.$$

Note that:

(1) it is possible that $D_1 = \emptyset$ or $E_1 = \emptyset$,
(2) $D_1 \cup E_1 = G$ if and only if $t = q_0/k_0$,
(3) $D_1 \cap E_1 = \emptyset$,
(4) $|F_1 \setminus (D_1 \cup E_1)| \leq 1$,
(5) D_1 and E_1 are union of cosets of H_1.

By (2) above our construction is finished if $t = q_0/k_0$, otherwise we carry on. Assume we have defined disjoint sets D_n and E_n for some $n \geq 1$ and $F_n \setminus (D_n \cup E_n) = \{f_*^{(n)}\}$. We enumerate points in the set

$$F_{n+1} \setminus (D_n \cup E_n) = \bigsqcup_{v \in H_n \cap F_{n+1}} \{v f_*^{(n)}\}$$

as $f_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, f_{k_n}^{(n+1)}$. Let $q_n := \max\{0 \leq l \leq k_n \,:\, l/k_n \leq t - D^*(D_n)\}$. Define

$$D_{n+1} = D_n \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{q_n} f_j^{(n+1)} H_{n+1}.$$

If $D^*(D_n) + q_n/k_n = t$ we let

$$E_{n+1} = E_n \cup \bigcup_{j=q_n+1}^{k_n} f_j^{(n+1)} H_{n+1}$$

and finish our construction. Otherwise, we set

$$E_{n+1} = E_n \cup \bigcup_{j=q_n+2}^{k_n} f_j^{(n+1)} H_{n+1}$$

and continue. Note that statements analogous to (1)–(5) above hold for D_{n+1} and E_{n+1}.

At the end we have defined (possibly finite) sequences $D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \dots$ and $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$ such that $G = D \cup E$, where $D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots$ and $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots$. Clearly D and E are unions of cosets and are disjoint. Therefore $\underline{x}^{(t)} = \{x_g^{(t)}\}_{g \in G}$ given by

$$x_g^{(t)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad g \in D, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad g \in E. \end{cases}$$

is a Toeplitz sequence. Hence the function $\Psi(t) = \underline{x}^{(t)}$ satisfies the first two claims.

To justify the third claim fix $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ and denote

$$\Gamma := \{g \in G \,:\, x_g^{(s)} \neq x_g^{(t)}\}.$$

For $n \geq 1$ let $D_n(s)$ and $D_n(t)$ be constructed as above for s and t, respectively. We can assume that $t \geq s$ and hence for every $n \geq 1$ one has $D_n(t) \supset D_n(s)$. Then

$$D^*\left(\underline{x}^{(s)}, \underline{x}^{(t)}\right) = D^*(\Gamma) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{g\in G} D_{F_n g}(\Gamma) \leq$$
$$\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} D^*\big(D_n(t)\big) - D^*\big(D_n(s)\big) + \frac{1}{|F_n|} = t - s.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 61 extends [19, Proposition 6] where the analogous statement is proved for Toeplitz sequences indexed by the group of integers.

Theorem 61. *The family of Toeplitz sequences is path-connected wit respect to the Weyl pseudometric.*

Proof. Pick two Toeplitz sequences $\underline{z} = \{z_g\}_{g\in G}$ and $\underline{z}' = \{z'_g\}_{g\in G} \in X^G$. We will construct a path

$$\{u^{(t)} \,:\, t \in [0,1]\} = \big\{\{u_g^{(t)}\}_{g\in\mathcal{G}} \,:\, t \in [0,1]\big\} \subset X^G$$

connecting $\underline{z}$ with $\underline{z}'$. Let $\Psi\colon [0,1] \to \mathscr{A}^G$ and $\underline{x}^{(t)}$ for $t \in [0,1]$ be defined as in Lemma 60. For $i \in \{0,1\}$ let $\chi_i\colon \{0,1\} \to \{0,1\}$ be given by:

$$\chi_0(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad a = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad a = 1, \end{cases} \qquad \chi_1(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad a = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad a = 1. \end{cases}$$

For $t \in [0,1]$ define $\underline{u}^{(t)} = \{u_g^{(t)}\}_{g\in G}$ as follows:

$$u_g^{(t)} = \chi_1(x_g^{(t)})\underline{z}_g + \chi_0(x_g^{(t)})\underline{z}'_g.$$

Clearly

$$D^*(\underline{z}^{(s)}, \underline{z}^{(t)}) \leq D^*(\underline{x}^{(s)}, \underline{x}^{(t)}) \to 0 \text{ as } s \to t.$$

Therefore to finish the proof it is enough to show that for every $t \in [0,1]$ the sequence $\underline{u}^{(t)}$ is a Toeplitz sequence. To this end fix $t \in [0,1]$ and $g \in G$. Let $H < G$ be a finite index subgroup of G such that $g \in \mathrm{Per}_H(\underline{x}^{(t)}) \cap \mathrm{Per}_H(\underline{z}) \cap \mathrm{Per}_H(\underline{z}')$ (such a subgroup exists as an intersection of finite number of finite index subgroups is a finite index subgroup). Then for every $h \in H$ one has

$$u_{gh}^{(t)} = \chi_1(x_{gh}^{(t)})\underline{z}_{gh} + \chi_0(x_{gh}^{(t)})\underline{z}'_{gh} = \chi_1(x_g^{(t)})\underline{z}_g + \chi_0(x_g^{(t)})\underline{z}'_g = u_g^{(t)}$$

and so $g \in \mathrm{Per}_H(\underline{u}^{(t)})$. Since g is arbitrary this finishes the proof. □

The above considerations lead to the alternative proof of the Krieger theorem [28, Theorem 1.1.].

Theorem 62. *Let G be a countable amenable residually finite group and $\mathscr{A}$ be a finite set. Then for every number $h \in [0, \log|\mathscr{A}|)$ there exists a Toeplitz sequence $\eta \in \mathscr{A}^G$ such that $h(\eta) = h$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 59 (or Theorem 61), Theorem 35 and Lemma 52 that it is enough to show that for every $\gamma \in (0,1)$ one can find a Toeplitz sequence $\eta \in \mathscr{A}^G$ such that $h(\eta) \geq \gamma \log|\mathscr{A}|$. Fix $\gamma \in (0,1)$. Let $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Følner sequence satisfying conditions of Lemma 54. Define $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ in the following way. Let $k_0 = 0$. Assume that we have already defined k_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick k_{n+1} such that $F_{k_{n+1}}$ contains at least $|\mathscr{A}|^{|F_{k_n}|}$ copies of F_{k_n}. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $r_n = \lfloor (1-\gamma)|F_{k_n}|/2^n \rfloor$. Choose a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of G such that:

- $G_i \cap \bigcup_{g\in G_j} \tilde{g}^{(k_j)} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$,
- for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $|G_n| = r_n$ and $G_n \subset F_{k_n}$,

- $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{g\in G_n}\tilde{g}^{(k_n)}=G$.

Now construct $\eta\in\mathscr{A}^G$ as follows. On F_0 let η be arbitrary. For every $g\in G_0$ and $h\in\tilde{g}^{(k_0)}$ let $\eta(h)=\eta(g)$. Assume that we have defined η on

$$F_{k_n}\cup\bigcup_{j=0}^{n}\bigcup_{g\in G_j}\tilde{g}^{(k_j)}\text{ for some }n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

This means that in every copy of F_{k_n} in $F_{k_{n+1}}$ there are

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n}r_i\cdot|H_{k_{n+1}}:H_{k_i}|$$

elements over which η is already constructed. Pick $|\mathscr{A}|^{|F_{k_n}|-\sum_{i=0}^{n}r_i\cdot|H_{k_{n+1}}:H_{k_i}|}$ copies of

$$S_n:=F_{k_n}\setminus\bigcup_{j=0}^{n}\bigcup_{g\in G_j}\tilde{g}^{(k_j)}$$

in $F_{k_{n+1}}$. On each of these copies define η in a different way so that every configuration over S_n can be found in $F_{k_{n+1}}$. This in particular means that

$$|\mathcal{B}_{F_{k_n}}|\geq|\mathscr{A}|^{|F_{k_n}|-\sum_{i=0}^{n}r_i\cdot|H_{k_{n+1}}:H_{k_i}|}\geq|\mathscr{A}|^{\gamma|F_{k_n}|}.$$

For every $g\in G_{n+1}$ and $h\in\tilde{g}^{(k_{n+1})}$ put $\eta(h)=\eta(g)$.

The construction is finished. It is easy to see that η is a Toeplitz sequence. Moreover, one has

$$h(\eta)\geq\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_{k_n}|}\log|\mathcal{B}_{F_{k_n}}|\geq\gamma\log|\mathscr{A}|.$$

This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our advisor Dominik Kwietniak for many helpful comments, constant support and encouragement. We are also grateful to Jakub Byszewski and Samuel Petite for their remarks and suggestions.

The research of Marta Pietrzyk was supported by the National Science Centre (NCN) under grant no. DEC-2012/07/E/ST1/00185.
Martha Łącka acknowledges support of the National Science Centre (NCN), Poland, grant no. 2015/19/N/ST1/00872.

References

[1] J. Auslander. Mean-L-stable systems. *Illinois J. Math.*, 3:566–579, 1959.
[2] M. Baake, T. Jäger, and D. Lenz. Toeplitz flows and model sets. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 48(4):691–698, 2016.
[3] T. Banakh. The Solecki submeasures and densities on groups. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2012. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.0717.
[4] T. Banakh. Extremal densities and measures on groups and G-spaces and their combinatorial applications. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2013. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.5078.
[5] Taras Banakh, Igor Protasov, and Sergiy Slobodianiuk. Densities, submeasures and partitions of groups. *Algebra Discrete Math.*, 17(2):193–221, 2014.
[6] A. Bartnicka, S. Kasjan, J. Kułaga-Przymus, and M. Lemańczyk. $\mathscr{B}$-free sets and dynamics. Accepted to: TAMS. The preprint available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.08010.
[7] Mathias Beiglböck, Vitaly Bergelson, and Alexander Fish. Sumset phenomenon in countable amenable groups. *Adv. Math.*, 223(2):416–432, 2010.
[8] Patrick Billingsley. *Convergence of probability measures*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[9] F. Blanchard, E. Formenti, and P. Kurka. Cellular automata in the Cantor, Besicovitch, and Weyl topological spaces. *Complex Systems*, 11(2):107–123, 1997.
[10] Tullio Ceccherini-Silberstein and Michel Coornaert. Cellular automata and groups. In *Computational complexity*, pages 336–349. Springer, New York, 2012.
[11] María Isabel Cortez. $\mathbb{Z}^d$ Toeplitz arrays. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 15(3):859–881, 2006.
[12] María Isabel Cortez and Samuel Petite. G-odometers and their almost one-to-one extensions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 78(1):1–20, 2008.
[13] María Isabel Cortez and Samuel Petite. Invariant measures and orbit equivalence for generalized Toeplitz subshifts. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 8(4):1007–1045, 2014.
[14] T. Downarowicz. Positive topological entropy implies chaos DC2. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142(1):137–149, 2014.
[15] T. Downarowicz and F. Durand. Factors of Toeplitz flows and other almost $1-1$ extensions over group rotations. *Math. Scand.*, 90(1):57–72, 2002.
[16] T. Downarowicz, B. Frej, and P.-P. Romagnoli. Shearer's inequality and Infimum Rule for Shannon entropy and topological entropy. In *Dynamics and Numbers, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 669*, pages 76–89. AMS, 2016.
[17] T. Downarowicz and E. Glasner. Isomorphic extensions and applications. *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, 48(1):321–338, September 2016.
[18] T. Downarowicz, D. Huczek, and G. Zhang. Tilings of amenable groups. Accepted to: Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal). Available at: https://www.degruyter.com/abstract/j/crll.ahead-of-print/crelle-2016-0025/crelle-2016-0025.xml.
[19] T. Downarowicz and A. Iwanik. Quasi-uniform convergence in compact dynamical systems. *Studia Math.*, 89(1):11–25, 1988.
[20] T. Downarowicz and Y. Lacroix. Almost 1-1 extensions of Furstenberg-Weiss type and applications to Toeplitz flows. *Studia Math.*, 130(2):149–170, 1998.
[21] Tomasz Downarowicz. The Choquet simplex of invariant measures for minimal flows. *Israel J. Math.*, 74(2-3):241–256, 1991.
[22] Tomasz Downarowicz. The royal couple conceals their mutual relationship: a noncoalescent Toeplitz flow. *Israel J. Math.*, 97:239–251, 1997.
[23] S. Fomin. On dynamical systems with a purely point spectrum. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 77:29–32, 1951.
[24] G. Fuhrmann, M. Gröger, and T. Jäger. Amorphic complexity. 2016.
[25] Richard Gjerde and Ørjan Johansen. Bratteli-Vershik models for Cantor minimal systems: applications to Toeplitz flows. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 20(6):1687–1710, 2000.
[26] A. Iwanik. Weyl almost periodic points in topological dynamics. *Colloq. Math.*, 56(1):107–119, 1988.
[27] K. Jacobs and M. Keane. $0-1$-sequences of Toeplitz type. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 13:123–131, 1969.
[28] Fabrice Krieger. Sous-décalages de Toeplitz sur les groupes moyennables résiduellement finis. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 75(2):447–462, 2007.
[29] Fabrice Krieger. Toeplitz subshifts and odometers for residually finite groups. In *École de Théorie Ergodique*, volume 20 of *Sémin. Congr.*, pages 147–161. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2010.
[30] D. Kwietniak, M. Łącka, and P. Oprocha. Generic Points for Dynamical Systems with Average Shadowing. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2016.
[31] Elon Lindenstrauss. Pointwise theorems for amenable groups. *Invent. Math.*, 146(2):259–295, 2001.
[32] Elon Lindenstrauss and Benjamin Weiss. Mean topological dimension. *Israel J. Math.*, 115:1–24, 2000.
[33] Nelson G. Markley and Michael E. Paul. Almost automorphic symbolic minimal sets without unique ergodicity. *Israel J. Math.*, 34(3):259–272 (1980), 1979.
[34] D. Ornstein and B. Weiss. Mean distality and tightness. In *Dynamical systems and related problems of geometry. Collected papers dedicated to the memory of Academician Andrei Andreevich Bolibrukh. Transl. from the Russian*, pages 295–302. Moscow: Maik Nauka/Interperiodika, 2004.
[35] John C. Oxtoby. Ergodic sets. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 58:116–136, 1952.
[36] Yu. V. Prohorov. Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 1:177–238, 1956.
[37] Ville Salo and Ilkka Törmä. Geometry and dynamics of the Besicovitch and Weyl spaces. In *Developments in language theory*, volume 7410 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 465–470. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
[38] Paul Schaefer. Limit points of bounded sequences. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 75:51, 1968.

[39] Paul C. Shields. *The ergodic theory of discrete sample paths*, volume 13 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
[40] S. Solecki. Size of subsets of groups and Haar null sets. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 15(1):246–273, 2005.
[41] Sławomir Solecki. On Haar null sets. *Fund. Math.*, 149(3):205–210, 1996.
[42] Susan Williams. Toeplitz minimal flows which are not uniquely ergodic. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 67(1):95–107, 1984.
[43] Dongmei Zheng and Ercai Chen. Bowen entropy for actions of amenable groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 212(2):895–911, 2016.

(M. Łącka) Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University in Krakow, ul. Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: martha.ubik@gmail.com
URL: www2.im.uj.edu.pl/MarthaLacka/

(M. Pietrzyk) Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University in Krakow, ul. Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: marta.pietrzyk@doctoral.uj.edu.pl